

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,207	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1763	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey S. Abel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006
Time: 11:38
Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No


If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
All pending
Claims discussed:
All pending
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Abel to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Abel returned my call confirming Applicant's intention to abandon the proceedings in favor of divisional application(s)

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)